

Reviewing Engineer: Jessica Temple

Facility Name	City of Flippin	Initials
Permit Number	AR0021717	<u>JW</u>
Facility Coordinates	36° 16' 56 <u>57.66</u> "; 92° 35' 03. <u>73</u> " (google earth)	<u>JW</u>
Outfall Coordinates	36° 17' 00"; 92° 35' 10"	<u>JW</u>
Planning Segment (in which outfall is located) ¹	4I	<u>JW</u>
Ecoregion (in which receiving stream is mainly located)	Ozark Highlands	<u>JW</u>
Receiving Stream Description	Fallen Ash Creek, thence into the White River	<u>JW</u>
Upstream Monitoring Station (only if on same stream as the outfall and background data is needed for PPS)	N/A	<u>JW</u>
Is the receiving stream on the 303(d) list and if so, for what?	no	<u>JW</u>
Is a TMDL applicable to this facility? If so, is the facility assigned a WLA?	no	<u>JW</u>
Is a UAA in progress for the receiving stream?		
Has a UAA been completed and fully incorporated (i.e., received EPA approval) into Reg. 2?		
Stream status (ERW, ESW, primary contact recreation, secondary contact recreation, etc.)	The previous permit has primary contact recreation. Based upon StreamStats, the drainage area is 9.88 square miles. For some reason, the permit limits changed to primary contact for FCB in the 2007 renewal. Thoughts? <u>The accuracy of Stream Stats, the ability of the program to depict watershed sizes, is unknown. Also, a 0.12 sq mile difference is insignifiacant. Therefore, we need to protect human health in this situation.</u>	<u>JW</u>
WET dilution series and critical dilution. Planning will need to attach RP worksheets as part of their response.	N/A	
Are there any issues with the receiving stream, i.e., anti-degradation, site specific studies, etc.?		

This review is based on the October 25, 2012 permit. This is the latest version I was able to find on-line.

I noticed they have construction permit, issued in October 2016, to upgraded the WWTP. Are the discharge coordinates still the same?